

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Mitchel Sweigart & Patricia 1254 Madison Drive	a Ray h/w	DEFENDANTS Central Garden 8	Ret Supply Co., and Ar	ntonio Rios, Jr.
Yardley, PA 19067	and the transfer Bushe	G	CD: ATTA ID C 1	Cullman
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff BUCKS EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	
(1)	ACM I IN O.B. I BAILVINI O'BBB)		D CONDEMNATION CASES, U	·
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name Kardos, Rickles, Hand & E	e, Address, and Telephone Number) Bidlingmaier	Attorneys (If Known)		
626 S. State Street		1		
Newtown, PA 18940 (215) II. BASIS OF JURISI		III. CITIZENSHIP OF I	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
II. DASIS OF JURISI	Place an A in One Box Only)	(For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		TF DEF 1 1 Incorporated or Proof Business In The	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	■ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	1 2	
	(material orazonskip or radeo in rem in)	Citizen or Subject of a E Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation	0 6 0 6
	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	L company of the comp	D. Marketter	L OTHER CT (TYPES
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR	FORFEITURE/PENALTY Y	BANKRUPTCY 1 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES 1 400 State Reapportionment
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 620 Other Food & Drug	☐ 423 Withdrawal	1 410 Antitrust
☐ 130 Miller Act☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractice Liability ☐ 365 Personal Injury -		28 USC 157	☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce
	320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability	☐ 630 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	460 Deportation
& Enforcement of Judgment			☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	330 Federal Employers' Injury Product Liability Liability	☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational	☐ 840 Trademark	480 Consumer Credit
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER		1	490 Cable/Sat TV
(Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud Liability ☐ 371 Truth in Lending	☐ 690 Other	SOCIAL SECURITY	■ 810 Selective Service ■ 850 Securities/Commodities/
of Veteran's Benefits	■ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 380 Other Personal	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	Exchange
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage Product Liability ☐ 385 Property Damage		☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	□ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal Product Liability	730 Labor/Mgmt Reporting	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITION	& Disclosure Act NS	□ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS	891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act
REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITION 441 Voting 510 Motions to Vacat		☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	893 Environmental Matters
220 Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment Sentence	☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act
 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 	Accommodations Habeas Corpus: 530 General	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act
245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION	20 030 1003	☐ 900Appeal of Fee Determination
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamus & Ott			Under Equal Access
	Employment 550 Civil Rights 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Condition	☐ 463 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee		to Justice 950 Constitutionality of
	Other	☐ 465 Other Immigration		State Statutes
	440 Other Civil Rights	Actions		
□ 2 R		1 4 Keinstated of \square 3	sferred from 6 Multidist	
Proceeding St	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a	Reopened (spec	ify) Liugation	Judgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	28 U.S.C. Section 1332			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of cause:		50	
VII. REQUESTED IN	Personal Injury with Jurisdiction bas CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION			if demanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT:		150,000.00	JURY DEMAND	^
VIII. RELATED CAS	(See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	-
DATE	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY OF RECORD		
07/13/2015	735			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				
RECEIPT# A	MOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG JU	DGE

Case 2:15-cv-03905-T1S Document 1 Filed 07/14/15 Page 2 of 10

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be us assignment to appropriate calendar.	sed by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of					
Address of Plaintiff: Mitchel Sweigart, 1254 Madison Dr.	, Yardley, PA 19067					
Address of Defendant: Central Garden, etal. 2210 Industr	ial Dr., SW, Cullman. AL 35055					
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	stand Chara					
(Use Reverse Side For Addi						
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and a (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	nny publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? Yes□ No∇□					
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ N ặ ₽					
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:						
Case Number:Judge	Date Terminated:					
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:						
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year	previously terminated action in this court?					
	Yes□ No 🔀					
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit action in this court?						
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier num	Yes□ No♥ hered case pending or within one year previously					
	Yes No.					
terminated action in this court?						
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights care	ase filed by the same individual?					
	Yes□ No[2]					
CIVIL: (Place / in one category only)	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:					
A. Federal Question Cases:	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts					
1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts						
2. □ FELA	2. A Arrella Defending					
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation					
4. 🗆 Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Injury					
5. D Patent	5. X Motor Vehicle Personal Injury					
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify)					
7. D Civil Rights	7. D Products Liability					
8. Habeas Corpus	8. D Products Liability — Asbestos					
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases					
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)					
11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)						
ARBITRATION CERTIF (Check Appropriate Cate)						
Clifford D Ridlingmajer counsel of record do hereby certify.						
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and bel	ief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of					
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.						
Reflet office man monetary damages is sought.	84627					
DATE: July 13, 2015	Attorney LD.#					
Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there	_					
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court						
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or will except as noted above.	time one Jest previously examinated action in and com-					
	04627					
DATE: July 13, 2015 Attorney-at-Law	84627 Attorney LD.#					
Attorney-ac-Law						

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Numb	er	E-Mail Address			
(215) 98646602	(215) 968-6	5915 <u>c</u>	bidlingmaier@krhb	law.com		
Date	Attorney-at		III Plaintiffs Attorney for			
	Clifford	Didlingmaios	TII Dlaintiff			
(f) Standard Management - Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.						
(e) Special Management commonly referred the court. (See rever management cases.)	to as complex and that arse side of this form for	need special or intense	e management by	()		
(d) Asbestos – Cases in exposure to asbestos	volving claims for pers	sonal injury or property	y damage from	()		
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.						
(b) Social Security – Ca and Human Services	ases requesting review s denying plaintiff Soc	of a decision of the Se ial Security Benefits.	cretary of Health	()		
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.						
SELECT ONE OF TH	E FOLLOWING CA	SE MANAGEMENT	TRACKS:			
plaintiff shall complete filing the complaint and side of this form.) In designation, that defend	a Case Management T serve a copy on all defe the event that a defend lant shall, with its first or parties, a Case Mana	rack Designation Form endants. (See § 1:03 of dant does not agree w appearance, submit to gement Track Designa	Plan of this court, couns in all civil cases at the ti- the plan set forth on the re- ith the plaintiff regarding the clerk of court and ser ation Form specifying the	me of everse g said eve on		
ntral Garden & Pet	Supply, Co, et.		NO.			
V.		:				
tchel Sweigart and y, h/w	Patricia	4 2	CIVIL ACTION			

(Civ. 660) 10/02

KARDOS, RICKLES, HAND & BIDLINGMAIER

By: Clifford D. Bidlingmaier, III, Esquire

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Identification No. 84627 626 South State Street Newtown, PA 18940 215-968-6602

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

NO.

MITCHEL SWEIGART & PATRICIA RAY, h/w

1254 Madison Drive,

Yardley, Pennsylvania 19067

Plaintiffs,

VS.

CENTRAL GARDEN & PET SUPPLY, Co. 2210 Industrial Drive SW

Cullman, Alabama 35055

& ANTONIO RIOS, JR.

451 15th Avenue

Paterson, New Jersey 07504

Defendants,

CIVIL ACTION - LAW

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT

JURISDICTION

1. Jurisdiction in this action is based on diversity of citizenship and is conferred upon this Court by the provisions of 28 U.S.C. Section 1332 (diversity of citizenship), in that the matter in controversy exceeds the sum and value of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, exclusive of interest and costs, and the action is between citizens of a State and citizens or subjects of a foreign state.

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff Mitchel Sweigart is an adult individual residing at 1254 Madison Drive, Yardley, County of Bucks, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 3. Plaintiff, Patricia Ray, is the wife of Plaintiff Mitchel Sweigart, residing at 1254 Madison Drive, County of Bucks, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 4. Defendant Central Garden and Pet Supply, Co. is, upon information and belief, a foreign corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware. Said Defendant maintains corporate offices in the State of California, and maintains a place of business at 2210 Industrial Drive SW, Cullman, State of Alabama.
- 5. At all times germane hereto, Defendant Central Garden and the owner of a 2015 Freightliner truck bearing Indiana license plate # 2259123.
- 6. Defendant, Antonio Rios, Jr. is an adult individual residing at 451 15th Avenue, City of Paterson, State of New Jersey.
- 7. At all times relevant herein, Defendant, Antonio Rios, Jr. acted as an agent, employee, and/or servant of Defendant, Central Garden and Pet Supply, Co.
- 8. Defendant Rios acted with the express and/or implied authority of Defendant, Central Garden and Pet Supply, Co., and at all times material hereto was in the direct scope of his employment.
- 9. At all times material hereto, Defendant, Central Garden and Pet Supply, Co., operated a business that required transportation and having significant contacts with the forum State of Pennsylvania.

CAUSE OF ACTION

- 10. On or about September 11, 2014, at approximately 2:35 P.M, Plaintiff, Mitchel Sweigart was operating his 2004 Aura TL vehicle bearing Pennsylvania license plate FMW8324, travelling in the right eastbound lane of SR 276, Whitemarsh Township, County of Montgomery and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania in a safe, lawful manner.
- 11. At the above time and place, Plaintiff was caused to suffer serious and permanent injuries when Defendant, Antonio Rios, Jr., was operating the aforesaid Freightliner eastbound in the center lane of SR 276 when traffic ahead began to slow, and the Defendant was unable to stop in time to avoid a collision and swerved into the right lane striking the rear of Plaintiffs vehicle.
- 12. As a direct result of the impact described above, Plaintiff Mitchel Sweigart sustained serious personal injuries, damages, and losses as hereinafter described.

COUNT ONE

MITCHEL SWEIGART vs. RIOS, JR.

- 13. Paragraphs 1 through 12 of this Complaint are hereby incorporated by reference as if same were set forth herein at length.
- 14. The aforesaid collision was directly and proximately caused by the negligence, carelessness and/or recklessness of Defendant Antonio Rios, Jr., *inter alia*, the following:
 - a) Failure to keep a proper lookout;
 - b) Failure to observe the location, distance, and speed of the vehicles on the roadway;
 - c) Negligently maintaining, controlling and operating said vehicle;

- d) Operating his vehicle in disregard for the rules of road, ordinances of the County and statutes and regulations of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania;
- e) Failure to stop;
- f) Failure to properly judge the speed, distance and time of vehicles approaching;
- g) Striking Plaintiff's vehicle;
- h) Failure to exercise due care under the circumstances;
- Failure to use due and reasonable care for the rights and safety of others under the circumstances;
- j) Operating the vehicle at an excessive rate of speed under the circumstances;
- k) Failure to observe Plaintiff's vehicle, which was in plain view;
- Failure to have vehicle under proper control so as to avoid striking
 Plaintiff's vehicle;
- m) Failure to be attentive;
- n) Was negligent as a matter of law; and
- p) Such other acts of negligence as shall become apparent through discovery or at the time of the trial of this matter.
- 15. As a direct and proximate result of aforesaid accident, Plaintiff Mitchel Sweigart has suffered serious mental and physical injuries to his head, neck, and back, including but not limited to; traumatic brain injury, concussion, fracture of C-2 and herniated disc C-8, chronic headaches, neck pain, cervical sprain, cervical strain, stroke,

knee abrasions, shoulder abrasions, hand numbness, post-traumatic stress disorder, and a shock to his nerves and nervous system causing pain, suffering and anxiety.

- 16. As a further direct and proximate result of aforesaid accident and by reason of the injuries sustained, Plaintiff Mitchel Sweigart has endured great physical pain and suffering, mental anguish and humiliation, and will be caused to continue to suffer same for an indefinite period of time in the future.
- 17. As a further direct and proximate result of the aforesaid accident and by reason of the injuries sustained, Plaintiff Mitchel Sweigart has incurred great medical expenses for related care and treatment, and may be required to expend additional sums of money for the same purpose in the future.
- 19. As a further direct and proximate result of the negligence, carelessness and recklessness of the Defendants as described in the aforesaid paragraphs herein, Plaintiff Mitchel Sweigart has lost the ability to enjoy life to the fullest degree, has been deprived of life's simple pleasures, and has been prevented from pursuing his normal daily activities, all of which are to his great detriment and loss.
- 20. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants negligence the Plaintiff, Mitchel Sweigert, has incurred and will continue to incur lost wages and earnings as a result of the happening of the occurrence; and is permanently partially disabled as a result of the happening of the occurrence, and was otherwise injured and damaged, for which this claim is made.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Mitchel Sweigart demands judgment against the Defendants jointly and severally for damages in excess of One Hundred and Fifty-

Thousand (\$150,000) Dollars, together with attorney's fees, interest, costs, delay damages and other such relief as this Honorable court deems appropriate.

COUNT TWO

MITCHEL SWEIGART vs. CENTRAL GARDEN & PET SUPPLY CO.

- 21. Paragraphs 1 through 20 of this Complaint are hereby incorporated by reference as if same were set forth herein at length.
- 22. At all times material hereto, Defendant, Antonio Rios, Jr. was the agent, servant, employee and/or representative of Defendant, Central Garden & Pet Supply Co. engaged in his duties on behalf of said party, and in the course and scope of his employment.
- 23. Defendant, Central Garden & Pet Supply Co. is thereby liable for Plaintiff's injuries resulting from the negligent and reckless conduct of their agent, servant, employee and/or representative Defendant Antonio Rios as described above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Mitchel Sweigart demands judgment against the Defendants jointly and severally for damages in excess of One Hundred and Fifty-Thousand (\$150,000) Dollars, together with attorney's fees, interest, costs, delay damages and other such relief as this Honorable court deems appropriate.

COUNT THREE

PATRICIA RAY vs. CENTRAL GARDEN & PET SUPPLY CO.

- 24. Paragraphs 1 through 23 of this Complaint are hereby incorporated by reference as if same were set forth herein at length.
- 25. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff, Patricia Ray, was the wife of Plaintiff, Mitchel Sweigart.

26. Solely as a result of the aforesaid negligence of Defendants, Plaintiff Patricia Ray, has been deprived of the services, comfort, society, companionship and conjugal affection of her husband, Mitchel Sweigart, and will be so deprived for the indefinite future to great her pain, suffering, damage and loss.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Patricia Ray demands judgment against the Defendants jointly and severally for damages in excess of One Hundred and Fifty-Thousand (\$150,000) Dollars, together with attorney's fees, interest, costs, delay damages and other such relief as this Honorable court deems appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

BY:

LIFFORD D. BIDLINGMAIER, III, ESQUIRE

Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: 7/13/15